| 1 | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 9 | AT TACOMA | | |
| 10 | KYNTREL TREVYONE JACKSON, | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO. 3:20-CV-5016-BHS-DWC | |
| 12 | v. | ORDER STRIKING SURREPLY | |
| 13 | TIMOTHY M. THRASHER, et al., | | |
| 14 | Defendant. | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | The District Court has referred this action, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, to United | | |
| 17 | States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. On May 18, 2018, Plaintiff filed a surreply to | | |
| 18 | Defendants' Reply in support of their motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 62. Pursuant to Local | | |
| 19 | Rule CR 7(g)(2), surreplies are limited to requests to strike material contained in or attached to a | | |
| 20 | reply brief. "Extraneous argument or a surreply filed for any other reason will not be | | |
| 21 | considered." Id; see also Herrnandez v. Stryker Corp., 2015 WL 11714363, at *2 (W.D. Wash. | | |
| 22 | Mar. 13, 2015). Plaintiff does not request to strike material contained in Defendants' Reply; | | |
| 23 | rather, he provides additional argument. See Dkt. 62. Therefore, the Court directs the Clerk to | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | strike Plaintiff's surreply (Dkt. 62). The Court will not consider Docket Entry 62 when ruling on | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. | | |
| 3 | Dated this 8th day of March, 2021. | | |
| 4 | Xw Chustel | | |
| 5 | David W. Christel | | |
| 6 | United States Magistrate Judge | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |